

ON A CLASS OF VARIATIONAL-HEMIVARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES INVOLVING SET VALUED MAPPINGS

NICUȘOR COSTEA ^{a,*} AND CEZAR LUPU ^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 200585 Craiova, Romania

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, 70109 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail addresses: nicusorcostea@yahoo.com lupucezar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. Using the KKM technique, we establish some existence results for variational-hemivariational inequalities involving monotone set valued mappings on bounded, closed and convex subsets in reflexive Banach spaces. We also derive several sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions in the case of unbounded subsets.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47J20, 47H04, 49J40, 49J53, 54C60, 47H05.

Key words: Variational-hemivariational inequalities, Clarke's generalized gradient, KKM mapping, set valued mappings, monotone mappings, existence of solutions.

1 Introduction

Because of its wide applicability in various domains such as mechanics, engineering sciences, economics, optimal control, inequality theory has become an important branch of mathematics. Inequality problems can be divided into two main classes: that of variational inequalities and that of hemivariational inequalities. The study of variational inequalities began with the work of G. Fichera [8], J. L. Lions and G. Stampacchia [15]. A basic result in the theory of variational inequalities is due to Hartman and Stampacchia [11] and states that if X is a finite dimensional Banach space, $K \subset X$ is compact and convex, and A is a continuous operator, then the variational inequality problem of finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K \quad (1)$$

has a solution. When K is not compact, or X is infinite dimensional, certain monotonicity properties are required to prove the existence of solution.

In 1999, Panagiotopoulos, Fundo and Rădulescu [28] extended the classical results from [11], proving several versions of theorems of Hartman-Stampacchia's type for the case of hemivariational inequalities on compact or on closed and convex subsets, in infinite and finite dimensional Banach spaces.

*Correspondence address: Nicușor Costea, Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 200585 Craiova, Romania. E-mail: nicusorcostea@yahoo.com

By replacing the subdifferential of a convex function by the generalized gradient (in the sense of F. H. Clarke) of a locally Lipschitz functional, hemivariational inequalities arise whenever the energetic functional associated to a concrete problem is nonconvex. This new type of inequalities appears as a generalization of the variational inequalities, but hemivariational inequalities are much more general, in the sense that they are not equivalent to minimum problems but, give rise to substationarity problems. The theory of hemivariational inequalities can be viewed as a new field of Nonsmooth Mechanics since the main ingredient used in the study of these inequalities is the notion of Clarke subdifferential of a locally Lipschitz functional. The mathematical theory hemivariational inequalities, as well as their applications in Mechanics, Engineering or Economics were introduced and developed by P. D. Panagiotopoulos [23]-[27] in the case of nonconvex energy functions. For a treatment of this theory and further comments we recommend the monographs by Z. Nanievich and P. D. Panagiotopoulos [21], D. Motreanu and P. D. Panagiotopoulos [19] and by D. Motreanu and V. Rădulescu [20]. For more information and connections regarding hemivariational inequalities see the recent papers of Costea-Rădulescu [5, 6], Migórski-Ochal-Sofonea [16, 17], Z. Liu-G. Liu [14] and the references therein.

2 The abstract framework

Throughout this paper X will denote a real reflexive Banach space with its dual space X^* and $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^k)$ will be a linear and compact operator where $1 < p < \infty$ and Ω is a bounded and open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We shall denote $Tu = \hat{u}$ and by p' the conjugated exponent of p . Let $j = j(x, y) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function, locally Lipschitz with respect to the second variable which satisfies the following condition:

-there exist $C > 0$ such that

$$|z| \leq C(1 + |y|^{p-1}) \quad (2)$$

a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and all $z \in \partial j(x, y)$.

We shall use the notation $j^0(x, y; h)$ for the Clarke's generalized directional derivative (see e.g. [4] or [20]) of the locally Lipschitz mapping $j(x, \cdot)$ at the point $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with respect to the direction $h \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where $x \in \Omega$, i.e.,

$$j^0(x, y; h) = \limsup_{\substack{w \rightarrow y \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{j(x, w + \lambda h) - j(x, w)}{\lambda}.$$

Accordingly, Clarke's generalized gradient $\partial j(x, y)$ of the locally Lipschitz map $j(x, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$\partial j(x, y) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid z \cdot h \leq j^0(x, y; h), \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{R}^k\},$$

where the symbol “ $\cdot$ ” means the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^k$.

The euclidian norm in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k \geq 1$, resp. the duality pairing between a Banach space and its dual will be denoted by $|\cdot|$, respectively $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We also denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm in the space $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^k)$ defined by

$$\|\hat{u}\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\hat{u}(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let K be a nonempty closed, convex subset of X and $\varphi : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ a convex lower semicontinuous functional such that

$$D(\varphi) \cap K \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

Assuming A is a set valued mapping from K into X^* , i.e. precisely into the power set $\mathcal{P}(X^*)$ or 2^{X^*} of X^* , with the domain of A , i.e. the set $D(A) = \{u \in K \mid A(u) \neq \emptyset\}$, the whole set K our aim is to study the following variational-hemivariational inequality problem:

Find $u \in K$ and $u^* \in A(u)$ such that

$$\langle u^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (4)$$

As it will be seen, this problem closely links to the following problem:

Find $u \in K$ such that

$$\sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, u - v \rangle \leq \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (5)$$

- We remark that if $T \equiv 0$ and φ is the indicator function of the set K , that is

$$\varphi \equiv I_K = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \in K \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then problem (4) is equivalent to finding $u \in K$ and $u^* \in A(u)$ such that

$$\langle u^*, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K, \quad (6)$$

which is called the *generalized variational inequality problem*, while problem (5) becomes equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, u - v \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in K \quad (7)$$

which is called the *dual variational inequality problem* and was originally discussed in [18] (see also [3, 13]).

- We note that if $A : K \rightarrow X^*$ is a single valued operator and $\varphi \equiv I_K$ problem (4) is equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (8)$$

The inequality of the type (8) is known as the *hemivariational inequality of Hartman-Stampachhia type*. It turned out that various problems from Nonsmooth Mechanics can be studied using this inequality, see [28].

- If A is a single valued and $T \equiv 0$ then problem (4) becomes equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K \quad (9)$$

which is known as the *mixed variational inequality*. For applications, numerical methods and formulations, see [1, 9, 10, 22].

- Finally, if A is single valued, $T \equiv 0$ and $\varphi \equiv I_K$ then problem (4) becomes the *standard variational inequality*: find $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (10)$$

It is clear that problems (6), (8)-(10) are special cases of our variational-hemivariational inequality problem (4). In brief, for a suitable choice of A , φ and T one can obtain a wide class of inequality problems. This shows that (4) is quite general and unifying in the same time.

Definition 1. A set valued mapping $A : K \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is said to be

- (i) *monotone on K* if, for each pair of point $u, v \in K$ and all $u^* \in A(u)$ and $v^* \in A(v)$,

$$\langle v^* - u^*, v - u \rangle \geq 0;$$

- (ii) *lower semicontinuous at $u \in K$* (see e.g. [21], p. 12), if and only if for any $u^* \in A(u)$ and for any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset K$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$, a sequence $u_n^* \in A(u_n)$ can be determined which converges to u^* ;

- (iii) *lower hemicontinuous on K* if the restriction of A to every line segment of K is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak-star topology in X^* .

3 Existence results

In this section, we discuss the existence of solutions of the variational-hemivariational inequality problem (4). The following two results will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1. (see [7] or [12]) Let K be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E and let $G : K \rightarrow 2^E$ be a set valued mapping satisfying the following properties:

- (i) G is a KKM mapping;
- (ii) $G(x)$ is closed in E for every $x \in K$;
- (iii) $G(x_0)$ is compact in E for some $x_0 \in K$.

Then $\bigcap_{x \in K} G(x) \neq \emptyset$.

We recall that a set valued mapping $G : K \rightarrow 2^E$ is said to be a *KKM mapping* if for any $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$, where $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ denotes the convex hull of $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Lemma 2. [28] *If j satisfies (2), X_1, X_2 are nonempty subsets of X and $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^k)$ is a linear and compact operator, then the mapping $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$(u, v) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx$$

is weakly upper semicontinuous.

We denote by S and S^* the solutions sets of problem (4) and problem (5), respectively. Now we give the relationship between problem (4) and (5).

Theorem 1. *Let K be a nonempty closed and convex subset of the real reflexive Banach space X . If $A : K \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is monotone, then $S \subseteq S^*$. In addition, if A is lower hemicontinuous, then $S^* = S$.*

Proof. Let $u \in S$ and $v \in K$ be arbitrary fixed. Then it exists $u^* \in A(u)$ such that

$$\langle u^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

Since A is monotone we have

$$\langle v^* - u^*, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v^* \in A(v) \quad (12)$$

Hence by (11) and (12) we have

$$\langle v^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v^* \in A(v). \quad (13)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, u - v \rangle \leq \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx. \quad (14)$$

Since v has been arbitrary chosen, it follows that (14) holds for all $v \in K$ which implies that $u \in S^*$.

In addition if A is lower hemicontinuous, we will show that $S = S^*$. Suppose $u \in S^*$ and let $v \in K$ be arbitrary fixed. We define the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ by $u_n = u + \frac{1}{n}(v - u)$. Clearly $\{u_n\} \subset K$ by the convexity of K . For any $u^* \in A(u)$, using the lower hemicontinuity of A , a sequence $u_n^* \in A(u_n)$ can be determined which converges weakly-star to u^* . Taking into account that $u \in S^*$, for each $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\langle u_n^*, u - u_n \rangle \leq \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{u}_n(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \quad (15)$$

But φ is convex and $j^0(x, \hat{u}; \lambda \hat{v}) = \lambda j^0(x, \hat{u}; \hat{v})$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Therefore (15) may be written, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\langle u_n^*, \frac{1}{n}(v - u) \right\rangle + \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}v + \frac{n-1}{n}u\right) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0\left(x, \hat{u}(x); \frac{1}{n}(\hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x))\right) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\langle u_n^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last relation by n and passing to the limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the $u \in S$. $\square$

Theorem 2. Let K be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of the real reflexive Banach space X and $A : K \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ a set valued mapping which is monotone and lower hemicontinuous on K . If $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^k)$ is linear and compact and j satisfies the condition (2) then the variational-hemivariational inequality problem (4) has at least one solution.

Proof. For any $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$ define two set valued mappings $F, G : K \cap D(\varphi) \rightarrow 2^X$ as follows:

$$F(v) = \left\{ u \in K \cap D(\varphi) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } u^* \in A(u) \text{ such that} \\ \langle u^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) dx \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

and

$$G(v) = \left\{ u \in K \cap D(\varphi) \left| \sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, u - v \rangle \leq \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) dx \right. \right\}.$$

STEP 1. F is a KKM mapping.

If F is not a KKM mapping, then there exists $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset K \cap D(\varphi)$ such that

$$\text{co}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(v_i)$$

i.e., there exists a $v_0 \in \text{co}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, $v_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$, where $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, but $v_0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(v_i)$. By the definition of F , we have

$$\langle v_0^*, v_i - v_0 \rangle + \varphi(v_i) - \varphi(v_0) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{v}_0(x); \hat{v}_i(x) - \hat{v}_0(x)) dx < 0, \quad \forall v_0^* \in A(v_0)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows from the convexity of the mapping $\hat{v} \mapsto j^0(x, \hat{u}; \hat{v})$ and the convexity of φ that for each $v_0^* \in A(v_0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle v_0^*, v_0 - v_0 \rangle + \varphi(v_0) - \varphi(v_0) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{v}_0(x); \hat{v}_0(x) - \hat{v}_0(x)) dx \\ &= \left\langle v_0^*, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i - v_0 \right\rangle + \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i\right) - \varphi(v_0) + \int_{\Omega} j^0\left(x, \hat{v}_0(x); \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \hat{v}_i(x) - \hat{v}_0(x)\right) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left[\langle v_0^*, v_i - v_0 \rangle + \varphi(v_i) - \varphi(v_0) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{v}_0(x); \hat{v}_i(x) - \hat{v}_0(x)) dx \right] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This implies that F is a KKM mapping.

STEP 2. $F(v) \subseteq G(v)$ for all $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$.

For a given $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$, let $u \in F(v)$. Then, there exists $u^* \in A(u)$ such that

$$\langle u^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) dx \geq 0.$$

Since A is monotone, we have

$$\langle v^* - u^*, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v^* \in A(v).$$

It follows from the last two relations that

$$\langle v^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v^* \in A(v)$$

which may be equivalently rewritten

$$\sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, u - v \rangle \leq \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx$$

and so $u \in G(v)$. This implies that G is also a KKM mapping.

STEP 3. $G(v)$ is weakly closed for each $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$.

Let $\{u_n\} \subset G(v)$ be a sequence which converges weakly to u as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We must prove that $u \in G(v)$. Since $u_n \in G(v)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and φ is weakly lower semicontinuous, applying Lemma 2, for each $v^* \in A(v)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\langle v^*, v - u_n \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_n(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_n(x)) \, dx \right] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v^*, v - u_n \rangle + \varphi(v) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u_n) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_n(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_n(x)) \, dx \\ &\leq \langle v^*, v - u \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to $u \in G(v)$.

STEP 4. $G(v)$ is weakly compact for all $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$.

Indeed, since K is bounded, closed and convex, we know that K is weakly compact, and so $G(v)$ is weakly compact for each $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$, as it is a weakly closed subset of an weakly compact set.

Therefore conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied in the weak topology. It follows that

$$\bigcap_{v \in K \cap D(\varphi)} G(v) \neq \emptyset.$$

This yields an element $u \in K \cap D(\varphi)$ such that, for any $v \in K \cap D(\varphi)$

$$\sup_{v^* \in A(v)} \langle v^*, v - u \rangle \leq \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}(x)) \, dx.$$

This inequality is trivially satisfied for any $v \notin D(\varphi)$ which means that the inequality problem (5) has at least one solution. Theorem 1 enables us to claim that inequality problem (4) also possesses a solution. $\square$

We shall derive below some consequences for problems over unbounded sets. Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 \in K \cap D(\varphi)$ and let us consider the sets $K_n = \{u \in K \mid \|u\| \leq n\}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

If K is nonempty, unbounded, closed and convex subset of X and $A : K \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is monotone and lower hemicontinuous, then by Theorem 2, there exists $u_n \in K_n$ and $u_n^* \in A(u_n)$ such that

$$\langle u_n^*, v - u_n \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_n(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_n(x)) \, dx \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K_n, \quad (16)$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2 hold without the assumption of boundedness of K and let $u_n \in K_n$ and $u_n^* \in A(u_n)$ be two sequences such that (16) is satisfied for every $n \geq 1$. Then each of the following condition is sufficient for the problem (4) to possess a solution:*

(H1) *There exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\|u_{n_0}\| < n_0$;*

(H2) *There exists a positive integer n_0 such that*

$$\langle u_{n_0}^*, -u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(0) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); -\hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \leq 0;$$

(H3) *There exists $u_0 \in K \cap D(\varphi)$ and $q \geq p$ such that for any sequence $\{w_n\} \subset K$ with $\|w_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{\langle w_n^*, w_n - u_0 \rangle}{\|w_n\|^q} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $w_n^ \in A(w_n)$.*

Proof. Let $v \in K$ be arbitrary fixed.

- Assume (H1) holds and take $t > 0$ small enough such that $w = u_{n_0} + t(v - u_{n_0})$ satisfies $w \in K_{n_0}$ (it suffices to take $t = 1$ if $v = u_{n_0}$ and $t < (n_0 - \|u_{n_0}\|)/\|v - u_{n_0}\|$ otherwise). By (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle u_{n_0}^*, w - u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(w) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); \hat{w}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \\ &\leq t \left[\langle u_{n_0}^*, v - u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by t the last relation we observe that u_{n_0} is a solution of (4).

- Now, let us assume that (H2) is fulfilled. In this case, some $t \in (0, 1)$ can be found such that

$tv \in K_{n_0}$. Taking (16) into account

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \langle u_{n_0}^*, tv - u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(tv) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); t\hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \\
&= \langle u_{n_0}^*, t(v - u_{n_0}) + (1-t)(-u_{n_0}) \rangle + \varphi(tv + (1-t)0) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); t(\hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) + (1-t)(-\hat{u}_{n_0}(x))) \, dx \\
&\leq t \left[\langle u_{n_0}^*, v - u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \right] \\
&\quad + (1-t) \left[\langle u_{n_0}^*, -u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(0) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); -\hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \right] \\
&\leq t \left[\langle u_{n_0}^*, v - u_{n_0} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u_{n_0}) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_{n_0}(x); \hat{v}(x) - \hat{u}_{n_0}(x)) \, dx \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing again by t the conclusion follows.

- Assuming that (H3) holds we observe that there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $u_0 \in K_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. We claim that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Suppose by contradiction that up to a subsequence $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $w_n = u_n/\|u_n\|$ is bounded, passing eventually to a subsequence (still denoted w_n for the sake of simplicity), we may assume that $w_n \rightharpoonup w$. The function φ being convex and lower semicontinuous, it is bounded from below by an affine and continuous function (see e.g. [2] Prop. I.9), which means that for some $f \in X^*$ and some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\langle f, u \rangle + \alpha \leq \varphi(u), \quad \forall u \in X.$$

This leads to

$$-\varphi(u) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|u\| - \alpha, \quad \forall u \in X. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, for any $y, h \in \mathbb{R}^k$ there exists $z \in \partial j(x, y)$ (see [4] Prop. 2.1.2) such that

$$j^0(x, y; h) = z \cdot h = \max\{Z \cdot h \mid Z \in \partial j(x, y)\}.$$

It follows from (2) that

$$|j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x))| \leq C(1 + |\hat{u}(x)|^{p-1}) |\hat{v}(x)|$$

and thus

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}(x); \hat{v}(x)) \, dx \right| \leq C(\|\hat{v}(x)\|_1 + \|\hat{u}(x)\|_p^{p-1} \|\hat{v}(x)\|_p) \leq C_1 \|v\| + C_2 \|u\|^{p-1} \|v\| \quad (18)$$

for some suitable constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Relations (16), (17), (18) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u_n^*, u_n - u_0 \rangle &\leq \varphi(u_0) - \varphi(u_n) + \int_{\Omega} j^0(x, \hat{u}_n(x); \hat{u}_0(x) - \hat{u}_n(x)) \, dx \\
&\leq \varphi(u_0) + \|f\| \cdot \|u_n\| - \alpha + C_1 \|u_n - u_0\| + C_2 \|u_n\|^{p-1} \|u_n - u_0\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\langle u_n^*, u_n - u_0 \rangle}{\|u_n\|^q} \leq \frac{\varphi(u_0) - \alpha}{\|u_n\|^q} + \frac{\|f\|}{\|u_n\|^{q-1}} + C_1 \left\| \frac{w_n}{\|u_n\|^{q-1}} - \frac{u_0}{\|u_n\|^q} \right\| + C_2 \left\| \frac{w_n}{\|u_n\|^{q-p}} - \frac{u_0}{\|u_n\|^{q-p+1}} \right\|$$

and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we reach a contradiction, since $1 < p \leq q$.

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, a $n_0 \geq 1$ can be found such that $\|u_{n_0}\| < n_0$ and by (H_1) u_{n_0} solves (4).

□

References

- [1] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, *Variational and Quasi-Variational Inequalities*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- [2] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle: Théorie et Applications*, Masson, Paris, 1992.
- [3] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach space, *Math. Ann.* **175** (1968), 89-113.
- [4] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983.
- [5] N. Costea and V. Rădulescu, Hartman-Stampacchia results for stably pseudomonotone operators and nonlinear hemivariational inequalities, *Appl. Anal.* (2009), in press.
- [6] N. Costea and V. Rădulescu, Existence results for hemivariational inequalities involving relaxed $\eta - \alpha$ monotone mappings, *Comm. Appl. Anal.* (2009), in press.
- [7] K. Fan, Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **266** (1984), 519-537.
- [8] G. Fichera, Problemi elettrostatici con vincoli unilaterali: il problema de Signorini con ambigue condizioni al contorno, *Mem. Acad. Naz. Lincei* **7** (1964), 91-140.
- [9] F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri, *Variational Inequalities and Network Equilibrium Problems*, Plenum Press, New York, 1995.
- [10] R. Glowinski, J. L. Lions and R. Trémolières, *Numerical Analysis and Variational Inequalities*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [11] G. J. Hartman and G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential equations, *Acta Math.* **112** (1966), 271-310.
- [12] B. Knaster, K. Kuratowski and S. Mazurkiewicz, Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n -dimensionale Simplexe, *Fund. Math.* **14** (1929), 132-137.
- [13] I. V. Konnov, On quasimonotone variational inequalities, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **99** (1) (1998), 165-181.
- [14] Z. Liu and G. Liu, On eigenvalue problems for elliptic hemivariational inequalities, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **51** (2008), 407-419.
- [15] J. L. Lions and G. Stampacchia, Variational inequalities, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20** (1967), 493-519.
- [16] S. Migórski, A. Ochal and M. Sofonea, Weak solvability of antiplane frictional contact problems for elastic cylinders, *Nonlinear Anal.* (2009), in press.
- [17] S. Migórski, A. Ochal and M. Sofonea, Solvability of dynamic antiplane frictional contact problems for viscoelastic cylinders, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (10) (2009), 3738-3748.
- [18] G. J. Minty, On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1967), 315-321.

- [19] D. Motreanu and P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Minimax Theorems and Qualitative Properties of the Solutions of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Nonconvex optimization and its applications, vol. 29, Boston/Dordrecht/London, 1999.
- [20] D. Motreanu and V. Rădulescu, *Variational and Non-variational Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/London, 2003.
- [21] Z. Naniewicz and P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Mathematical Theory of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [22] M. A. Noor, Some recent advances in variational inequalities, Part II, other concepts, *New Zealand J. Math.*, **26** (1997), 229-255.
- [23] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Hemivariational Inequalities: Applications to Mechanics and Engineering*, Springer-Verlag, New York/Boston/Berlin, 1993.
- [24] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, Noconvex energy functions. Hemivariational inequalities and substationarity principles, *Acta Mechanica* **42** (1983), 160-183.
- [25] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, Hemivariational inequalities and their applications, in J. J. Moreau, P. D. Panagiotopoulos and G. Strang (eds) *Topics in Nonsmooth Mechanics* Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag, (1988).
- [26] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, Nonconvex superpotential and hemivariational inequalities: Quasidifferentiability in mechanics, in J. J. Moreau and P. D. Panagiotopoulos (eds), *Nonsmooth Mechanics and Applications*. CISM Courses and Lectures No. 302. Berlin/New York: Springer-Verlag, (1988).
- [27] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Inequality Problems in Mechanics and Applications. Convex and Nonconvex Energy Functionals*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel/Boston, 1985.
- [28] P. D. Panagiotopoulos, M. Fundo and V. Rădulescu, Existence theorems of Hartman-Stampacchia type for hemivariational inequalities and applications, *J. Global Optim.* **15** (1999), 41-54.